Inventory of ticks on dogs in rural areas of the northeast of Algeria and its relationship with influences some ecological and climatic parameters
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Abstract

Research was undertaken to establish an inventory of ticks on dogs in the north east of Algeria. In the first aspect of study, a survey aims to identify of Ixodidae infesting the dogs in bioclimatic different areas and to determine the risk factors. This work took place between 2006 and 2012. The results of the collections show that all the dogs, which were the subject of this survey, are infested by ticks during the period between March and August in different areas of the northeastern of Algeria. Rhipicephalus sanguineus is the predominant tick species. It has been observed with a frequency of 88 % in the area of El-Tarf, 100 % in Souk-Ahras, 95 % in the Tébessa region and 72 % in Guelma, (average of 89 %). Climatic conditions seem to play the essential role for this distribution of ticks. The other tick species collected are: Ixodes ricinus, Rhipicephalus turanicus, Haemaphysalis punctata and Hyalomma anatolicum. The latter were present with very low a proportion, which gives them the appearance of accidental parasitism in dogs. Rhipicephalus sanguineus has significant infestation intensity in spring and summer, peaking in August in the semi-arid region (Souk-Ahras). In humid regions (El-Tarf), the peak of infestation recorded by this arthropod is in April. The second aspect of study of the seasonal dynamics of Rhipicephalus sanguineus shows the seasonal nature (Spring-Summer) of the brown tick of dog.
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دراسة جرد انواع القراد المتطفل على الكلاب في شمال شرق الجزائر

فوزي معطى الله، احمد بن نخلة و سعيدة معطى الله

قسم العلوم البيطرية، قسم العلوم الفلاحية، كلية العلوم والحياة، جامعة الشاذلي بن جديد، الطارف، الجزائر

الخلاصة

اجريت عملية جرد للقراد الخاص بالكلاب في شمال شرق الجزائر وجاء في المقام الأول تحديد القراد في مناطق مختلفة مناخيا مع عوامل التطفل لدى الكلاب وذلك في فترة ما بين 2006 و 2012. تظهر نتائج التحصيلات أن جميع الكلاب التي كانت موضوع هذا المسح هو النوع السائد Rhipicephalus sanguineus. قد أصيبت بالقراد خلال الفترة ما بين آذار وأب في أجزاء مختلفة من شمال شرق الجزائر بنسبة 88 % في منطقة الطارف، 100 % في سوق أهراس، 95 % في منطقة طمس، 72 % في قالة و 89 % معدلا. بدأ أن الظروف المناخية و خاصة درجة الحرارة تلعب دورا أساسيا في توزيع القراد. لوحظ أيضا أنواع أخرى من القراد ولكن بنسبة مخفضة جدا، مما يشير إلى صفة التطفل العرضي على الكلاب: (Ixodes ricinus, Rhipicephalus turanicus, Haemaphysalis punctata and Hyalomma anatolicum) لديها كثافة كبيرة في النطاق، بلغت ذروتها في أي في المنطقة شبه القاحلة (سوق أهراس). أما في المناطق الرطبة (الطارف)، فإن درجة الحرارة (الظروف البيئية في شمال شرق الجزائر تتوفر لطفح هذا النوع من القراد في نيبان. الدراسة الثانية أكدت أن الظروف البيئية في شمال شرق الجزائر تؤثر على تطور هذا النوع من القراد مع فعاليته الموسمية (ربيع و صيف) وهذا يبين دورها كنافل للأمراض مما يشكل خطرًا على الكلاب وبالتالي مخاطر محتملة على الإنسان.
Introduction

The dog infestation by ticks in Algeria is a frequent phenomenon considered as banal (1,2). However, the vector role of these mites in the transmission of various pathogens (bacteria, parasites and viruses), gives them a great pathological importance (3,4). This type of parasitism does not constitute a reason for recurrent clinic consultation of dogs. Most dog owners limit their actions to tick extraction despite the risk of infection by leaving their heads attached to the skin. Among ticks infesting the dog, *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* is the most widespread in the world. The brown dog tick is an ectoparasite of public health interest, due its capacity to carry and transmit a number of pathogens to humans (5). In Algeria, we do not have a clear idea on the distribution of dog ticks and the diseases they transmit, apart from published data on the prevalence of babesiosis, canine Ehrlichiosis and Rickettsiosis (1,6-8). This information is still limited in Algeria, whereas the epidemiological studies which support the emergence or re-emergence of tick-borne pathogen in dogs around the world is necessary. Our work brings together various studies that have been done on this subject in order to understand the distribution of *Ixodidae* in northeastern Algeria.

Material and methods

Study areas

Work on the inventory of the *Ixodidae* fauna took place in different areas of north-eastern of Algeria (Figure 1), located on the bioclimatic floors; humid, sub-humid, semi-arid and arid. The data are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of different areas of study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>El-Tarf</th>
<th>Souk-Ahras</th>
<th>Guelma</th>
<th>Tebessa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altitude (m)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Average (°C)</td>
<td>18,5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>17,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclimatic floors</td>
<td>Humid <em>mediterneen climate</em></td>
<td>Semiarid <em>mediterneen climate + hot summer</em></td>
<td>Semiarid <em>mediterneen climate + hot summer</em></td>
<td>Subhumid <em>mediterneen climate + hot summer</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Geographical location of areas of study.

Animal population

The first study was conducted during a period from 2006 to 2012 and involved a total of 546 dogs. A survey protocol was produced and includes, in particular, memorial collection cards that identify each dog participating in the survey, as well as the samples taken. On these cards are recorded all information relating to age, sex and race. Finally, data related to the presence or absence of ticks, their location on the body of the animal, the general state of the animal have been reported. Dog treatments are not common practices in these areas. None of the dogs examined were wearing pestle collars.

Furthermore, another study was conducted at Sedrata area for a population of 15 dogs (local breed and sloughi breed) to get an idea about the seasonal dynamics of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*.

Research and collection of ticks

The collection of ticks was carried out according to the areas between March and August, except for El-Mellah and Sedrata where the period was spread between January and September. This period of tick collection coincides with that of the activity of these mites. The collection was done once a month and involved an average of ten dogs...
randomly selected for the different areas, while the second study was conducted between April 2012 and March 2013. The samples of ticks are placed in the tubes for alcohol (70%) for good preservation and are transported to the parasitology laboratory of the Department of Veterinary Sciences (University of El-Tarf) for identification of tick species.

**Observation and identification of ticks**

For diagnosis, ticks are observed with an optical magnifier. These are placed in Petri dishes, handled by fine forceps. Before examination, the ticks must be dried (alcohol) for good observation. We depend the *Ixodidae* diagnosis key established by (9-11).

**Epidemiological indicators**

Basic concepts that can serve as epidemiological indicators are recorded with the formula allowing their calculation. Epidemiological Indicators Formulas:

- Tick infestation rate = Number of infested animals / Number of animals examined x 100.
- Parasitic load (Intensity of infestation) = Number of ticks / Number of parasitized animals.
- Abundance of infestation (degree of infestation) = Number of ticks collected / Number of animals examined x 100.
- Relative abundance (frequency according to the species of tick) = Number of tick species / Total number of ticks x 100.

**Statistical analyzes**

The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare parasite loads of ticks collected in different areas. The analyzes were carried out with SPSS Statistics software version 19. "ANOVA" test is used to study significant differences in results. Correlation test is also used for the influence of different study parameters.

**Results**

**First study: Collection report**

A study of the *Ixodidae* was conducted at different times in the different areas showed that out of 546 dogs examined, 480 were tick-infested (a rate of 88%). The number of ticks taken during this period is 3745; which represents an abundance of 7 ticks / dog examined and a parasite load of 8 ticks / dog infested. All dogs examined in the different regions are carriers of ticks, except the El-Mellah area (El-Tarf) and Sedrata where 96/126 dogs and (90/120) parasitized respectively. It is interesting to note that in our study only adult ticks were encountered parasitizing dogs. The identification of collected ticks allowed the diagnosis of four genera (*Rhipicephalus, Ixodes, Haemaphysalis* and *Hyalomma*), with five parasitic species: *Rhipicephalus sanguineus, Rhipicephalus turanicus, Ixodes ricinus*, *Haemaphysalis punctata* and *Hyalomma anatolicum*. The specie *R. sanguineus* has been found with a very high relative abundance ranging from 75% in the El-Mellah; 76% in Sedrata (c) area to 100% in the Bouhadjar and Souk-Ahrs areas. The other identified species, namely, *Rh. turanicus, I. ricinus, Haemaphysalis punctata* and *Hyalomma anatolicum* represented very low relative abundances tending towards.

**Parasitic association**

It should be noted here that the parasitic associations concerned in the areas of Negrine, Guelma, El-Kala and Souk Ahras, the species of *R. sanguineus, Iricinus* and *Hae. punctata* whereas in the El-Mellah and Sedrata areas the association has been reported for *Rh. sanguineus, Rh. turanicus* and *Hy. Anatolicum*. In addition, the different values found in these areas are very close:

- Monoinfestation: 55% in El-Kala, 46% in El-Mellah, 83% in Negrine and 39% in Guelma and 80% in Souk Ahras.
- Bi-infestation: 40% in El-Kala, 54% in El-Mellah, 17% in Negrine and 35% in Guelma and 15% in Souk Ahras.
- Tri-infestation: 5% El-Kala, 83% in Negrine, 26% in Guelma and 5% in Souk-Ahrs.

**Factors of receptivity**

Preferred sites: It has been reported that 70% of ticks attached on the ears. They were also found with low proportions in the inguinal region (24%) and to a lesser degree in the limbs, abdomen and head with respective rates of 4% and 2%.

- Sex: It has been noted that the population of female ticks with a number of 2311(58%) exceeds those of males with 1434 ticks (42%). There was a slight predominance of female ticks for *Rh. sanguineus* with 56% (1802/3188), then a majority of female ticks for *Rh. turanicus* (83% female against 17% male). There are also a few more females’ ticks for *Hae. punctata* with a rate of 65%, which is not the case for *Iricinus*, which found more male than female ticks with respective proportions of 60%, 40%. *Hy. anatolicum* identified are males.

- Altitude: It has been observed that *Rh. sanguineus* has the lowest infestation intensities (4 to 5) at very low altitudes in areas near the sea (El-Kala, El Mellah). The highest values were noted in areas 200 m and above. Thus, for example, the highest intensity of 9 corresponds to an altitude of 800 m which characterizes the area of Souk-Ahrs.
It has been recorded also, intensities of 8 in Guelma (290 altitude); 9 in Tebessa (351 m) and 9 for Bouhadjar (243 m).

A positive correlation was found between altitude and parasite tick load during all study months (r = 0.807015>0). This means that the intensity of infestation of dogs by *R. sanguineus* is high in highland areas.

Bioclimatic stage: It turns out that the intensity of infestation of dogs by *R. sanguineus* is high in semi-arid and arid bioclimatic zones where it reaches values ranging from 8 to 9. On the other hand, intensity decreases. In sub-humid to wet bioclimatic regions, in particular El-Kala and El-Mellah with respective values of 4 and 5.

With regard to the other species (*R. turanicus*, *I. ricinus*, *Hae. punctata* and *Hy. anatolicum*), these have been observed either in the bioclimatic stages; sub-humid to humid and arid.

A positive correlation is found between the bioclimatic stage and the intensity of the infestation (r = 0.65837906>0). Regarding the other tick species, we noticed that their presences with low intensity are compatible with medium and low altitude zones situated between 1 and 350 m (El-Kala, El-Mellah, Guelma, Tebessa).

**Second aspect of the study**

The study of seasonal dynamics of population of 15 dogs during one year shows the following result (table 2, figures 2, 3).

The distribution of ticks is not homogeneous in all age groups. A high parasite load of 12.4 was recorded for 10 to 12 month old dogs. A negative correlation was found (r = -0.30) between the prevalence and the age factors of the dogs.

In our study; it was found that the parasitic load of *R. sanguineus* was higher in Sloughi breed dogs (11.7 ticks / dog) (out of 7 dogs); then for the local breed; we could record a load of 7.4 ticks / dog (out of a total of 8 dogs). A negative correlation was recorded (r = -0.50) between the prevalence and the breed factor of dogs.

Note that parasitism by ticks is mostly located at the ears with a high rate of 83.2%. Very low rates are recorded at the level of the inguinal region and the limbs (11.4% and 5.4% respectively).

For a total number of 166 ticks (3 larvae and 10 nymphs identified in March), the morphological identification allowed finding of the following parasitic species: *R. sanguineus*, *R. turanicus* and *Hae. punctata* with respective relative abundances of 80 %, 18 % and 2 %. The tick population is represented by 56 % of females and 44 % of males. *R. Sanguineus* infests dogs with a parasitic load of 9 ticks / dog infested.

A variation of the parasite load of these mites collected on the dogs is observed during the 12 months of study (Table 2). Dogs in the Sedrata area show a high intensity of infestation with *R. sanguineus* between July and September with a peak value of 2 ticks / dog in August. In these months, we do not notice a high humidity but an increase in temperature was very remarkable. heavy rain seems to be a factor favoring the presence of *R. sanguineus*. Also, the humidity does not seem to be a factor for the activity of this parasitic species. There are very highly significant differences between dogs’ parasite loads for each month (p = 0 <0.001).

Correlation with rainfall was significant (P<0.05) in spring, summer and autumn 2012, but not in winter, because rainfall is seasonal and abundant (mean 800 mm per year) from May to October. Temperature is fairly stable in spring, summer and autumn (mean 21°C), but not during winter. Therefore, we only found a correlation between prevalence and temperature (p <0.05) during winter. There were significant differences between these seasons. However, there were significant differences (p <0.05) between winter and remaining seasons.

**Table 2: Intensity (load) of dogs infested in Sedrata**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Rh. s</em></td>
<td>1.4 (11/8)</td>
<td>1.5 (12/8)</td>
<td>1.6 (21/13)</td>
<td>2.1 (29/14)</td>
<td>2.3 (32/14)</td>
<td>1.9 (23/12)</td>
<td>1.3 (5/4)</td>
<td>1.4 (7/5)</td>
<td>1 (1/1)</td>
<td>/ (1/1)</td>
<td>/ (1/1)</td>
<td>(10/6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rh. t</em></td>
<td>1.5 (3/2)</td>
<td>1 (6/6)</td>
<td>1.5 (6/4)</td>
<td>1 (4/4)</td>
<td>1.3 (8/6)</td>
<td>1.3 (5/4)</td>
<td>1 (1/1)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hae. p</em></td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>1.5 (2/1)</td>
<td>1 (1/1)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
<td>0 (0/0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discuss the study

First aspect of the study

In the light of the results presented on the collections of ticks in the northeastern areas of Algeria, it turns out that the infestation of dogs is important, it was indeed counted 3745 ticks, all species combined, collected from 480 individuals in the different areas thus giving a total parasite load of 8 ticks / infested dog.

On the other hand, all the dogs examined between March - August, proved to be carriers of ticks, which represents an infestation rate of 100%.

This systematic infestation of the canine population in the extreme northeastern regions of Algeria seems to be due to the lack of interest of the owners on the application of acaricidal treatment to their animals. *Rh. sanguineus* is the most common tick found in dogs in all these areas. It is found with very high relative abundances reaching values in the different areas. This high frequency of *Rh. sanguineus* makes this animal its preferential host. This mite is rightly called the dog's common tick (7,12).

This result is in agreement with others studies in the world. Survey in four cities in India (13) showed there were two genera of ticks: *Rhipicephalus* and *Haemaphysalis*, also in Indonesian and from 950 samples of ticks, *Rh. sanguineus* was the only determined (14). (15) reported the total predominance of this tick in Italy and the same result was brought in Nigeria (16).

This tick is a good example of parasite globalization to its ubiquitous distribution which has clearly been facilitated by dog movements with their owners or trough trade (17).

In Europe, *Rh. sanguineus* adult parasitize dogs, ungulates and man, while the immature stages feed on rodents, hedgehogs and other small mammals (18). In contrast, some authors reported that the immature stages of this tick are most commonly involved in human parasitism (18-20). This approach is in agreement with the results of our study (even with a collection not meticulous because of the aggressiveness of the dogs in the rural environment). In the same approach (9,21,22) indicate that the majority of ticks of *Rh. sanguineus* taken from dogs are adults.

In addition to *Rh. sanguineus*, the dog may be infested with other species such as *I. ricinus*, *Ha. punctata*, *Rh. turanicus* and *Hy. anatolicum* but with negligible relative abundances.

These tick species identified are among the species recorded in Morocco and Tunisia in a contribution to the catalog of ticks in this country (22,23).

In Nigeria, (16) provided the presence of *boophilus* spp, *Hyalomma* spp and *Rh. sanguineus* (second position with 10 %). The high prevalence of *boophilus* (88 %) on dogs in this region raises the question for the affinity of *Rhipicephalus* for dogs.

In the same sense, the absence of *Rh. sanguineus* and in Great Britain (24) and in Hungary (25) asks the same question? Even with the presence of the dog tick “*Dermacentor reticulates*” that is not recorded in our study.

Although, this tick has been identified on dogs in Hungary, Poland (25,26) where the studied area corresponds to a temperate continental climate with some mid-mountain areas. In France, *Rh. sanguineus* was in second position after *Ixodes ricinus* (27).

This result is interesting for the probability of transmission of pathogens, since in *I. ricinus* mainly transmits Lyme disease, whereas *D. reticulatus* and *Rh. sanguineus* play an important role in babesiosis (7,20).

For *Hy. anatolicum*, it is reported as an infective tick of the dog with *Rh. sanguineus* in Pakistan (28) what gives the principle of parasitic combination that has to be studied for certain parasites?

About the others parasites, we can say that the environment (climate and cohabitation with other animals:
ruminants especially) where the dogs lived can play a role in the infestation accidentally by ticks.

In our study, the forest richness of the El-Kala, ensuring the thermal and hygrometric regulation favors the presence of ticks *I. ricinus* and *Hae. punctata*. Dogs can be infected with ticks whose, the humidity playing an important role for the survival of these parasites. Also, *I. ricinus* can be found in low altitude areas as it is found at high altitude areas with abundant vegetation for at least part of the season (29).

During their parasitic life, dog ticks are fixed, according to our observations, largely on the ears, a lesser degree on the inguinal region and the limbs. However, in 2003, (27) reported a majority of ticks taken from the head, neck and secondarily from the back and side. Also, in 2012, (16) reported that the most preferred sites of tick attachment in decreasing order were head, neck and legs. The majority of ticks (91.7 %) were adults, which were identified to species level, the others were nymphs.

In the same subject, in 2010, (7) reported that *Rh. sanguineus* ticks can attach everywhere on the dog, but the head (particularly on ears), interdigital spaces, back, inguinal region, and axilla are among their preferred attachment sites.

Although ticks *Rh. sanguineus* have short hypostome and attach more superficially in comparison with others ticks, they can attach firmly to the host's skin. Also, this distribution on the body corresponds, according to these same authors to the parts primarily in contact with the vegetation in which the animals circulate (30).

Male and female tick populations coexist on different parts of the dog's body with a large number of female. This can be explained by the fact that according to the data of the literature, female ticks, particularly those of *Rh. sanguineus*, once engorged with blood remain on the animal for 3 weeks and males even longer (9).

In addition, dogs are heavily infested with *Rh. sanguineus* in areas exceeding 200 m as Bouhadjar (243 meters), Guelma (290 metere), Tebessa (351 meters) and Souk-Ahras (800 meters). In these areas, parasite loads vary between 8 and 9 ticks / infested animal. In areas near the sea (1 m above sea level), the parasite loads are lower and reach respectively 4 and 5 at El-Kala and El-Mellah. A positive correlation between parasite loads and infestation intensity was thus verified (r = 0.6583706> 0). *Rh. sanguineus*, thermophilic mite appears to be much more adapted to semi-arid (Souk-Ahras) and arid (Tebessa) climates; the area of Souk-Ahras receiving a rainfall often less than 800 mm / year constitutes a favorable environment for the development of this parasite.

At the same time, *Rh. sanguineus* is adapted to warm temperatures (optimum of 24-27 °C) and a low degree of humidity (31,32), as is the case of arid areas (Tebessa).

These observations are in agreement with those reported in Libreville (33) concerning the evaluation of dog infestation by ticks in the region of Libreville in Gabon, known for its hot climate. Indeed, he highlighted a parasitic load of 7.3 ticks / dog infested. Also in 1999, (34) has revealed that the stable temperature in summer, spring and autumn in the Cuernavaca City makes a favorable environment for the infestation of dogs by *Rh. sanguineus*.

Finally and in addition to favorable weather conditions noticeably the temperature and the cohabitation the dogs with ruminants especially that favor the parasitism by *Rh. sanguineus*, the predominance of this species makes its important tropism for dog, and we can say that the brown tick is undoubtedly the most important species from the veterinary standpoint. While, the infection the dog with others ticks (*I. ricinus*, *Hae. punctata*, *Rh. turanicus* and *Hy. Anatolicum*) can only be considered accidental (7).

All that confirmed to us that *Rh. sanguineus* (endophilic, monotropic tick), able to survive in outdoor environments, if the climatic and environmental conditions meet in some areas (7,18).

**Second aspect of this study**

This study also showed there was no relationship between the breed of dog (Local end Sloughi breeds) with a degree of infestation of ticks (P>0.05). Similar results were reported in the Ashton Court Estate-UK, that factor of dog races/breeds did not affect the dog tick infestations (35).

Despite that, the high prevalence in Sloughi dogs can be explained by the short hairs characteristic of this breed of dog, which facilitates attachment and parasitic aggression.

Also, the infestation is more prevalent in puppies, this can be explained by factor of immunity is low compared to adults (also to observe in El-Kala, Bouhadjar).

The study of seasonal distribution of *Rh. sanguineus* ticks on dogs, in Sedrata, confirms our results previous and gives the seasonal aspect of the dog's infection by the brown tick.

The identification of immature stages in March (even a small number) shows the importance of the triphasic cycle of this mite which reinforces this preferential parasitism for the dog (8).

As observed in most tick species, the host seeking stages of *Rh. sanguineus* are under the influence of climatic conditions, such as temperature and relative humidity (36). On the other hand, the development of feeding stage depends exclusively on the availability of the specific host (7,36). According to the weather station, during the experiment, the mean temperature in Sedrata ranged from 4 (February) to 38, 5°C (August), the relative humidity from 41 (August) to 77 % (December and February) and the rainfall from 2 (June) to 172 mm (February).

The temperature seems to be the most important factor responsible for the parasitic evolution of *Rh. sanguineus*.
As such, in south of Italy 2010, (15) reported that the population (adult) of these mites increased during these months (July - August).

In despite the relative humidity within, and mainly the high temperatures, and the constant presence of dogs provided ideal conditions to the development of *R. sanguineus* ticks.

**Conclusion**

Different areas of north east of Algerian have environmental conditions that favour the development and maintenance of *R. sanguineus* ticks throughout the year. The study can provide a basis for additional work aiming at a strategic control programme for dogs in the study areas.

This situation may be a threat to dogs in view of the important role of *R. sanguineus* as a vector of canine Babesiosis and Ehrlichiosis (13, 24, and as a possible risk interest: *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*, *R. conorii* R. aeschlimannii, *Hyalomma anatolicum anatolicum* -35. *Rh.* -181

